

Enzymatic Oxidative Coupling of Hydroxyphenylglycine Derivatives

Zhi-Wei Guo, Koji Machiya, You-An Ma and Charles J. Sih*

School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin, 425 N. Charter St., Madison, WI 53706-1515 U.S.A.

Received 27 April 1998; revised 22 May 1998; accepted 26 May 1998

Abstract: In contrast to N-protected tyrosine derivatives, N-protected hydroxy-D-phenylglycine derivatives underwent decarboxylation to give 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde under the normal incubation conditions. When both the carboxyl and amino groups of hydroxy-D-phenylglycine are blocked, the C-C and C-O coupling products were obtained in 48% and 32% yields respectively. No racemization of the chiral center was observed for all the substrates examined. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Bioactive cyclopeptides and cyclodepsipeptides, such as Bastadins, ^{1a} K-13, ^{1b} OF 4949, ^{1c} Bouvardin, ^{1d} and Vancomycin, ^{1e} are made up of building blocks derived from the oxidative coupling of phenolic amino acids or their derivatives. The C-C and C-O coupling products of tyrosine residues also contribute to the crosslinked properties of many structural proteins.² Consequently, considerable efforts have been devoted to the development of different strategies especially for the synthesis of the diaryl ether linkage present in these target molecules.³

We recently reported a novel efficient enzymatic method for the C-O coupling of dibromo- and dichlorotyrosine derivatives to yield the isodityrosine framework.⁴ To further investigate the scope of this technology, we have examined the enzymatic oxidative coupling of hydroxy-D-phenylglycine derivatives because they are present in the diaryl ether linkages of many glycopeptide antibiotics.³⁶ Moreover, it is important to determine whether racemization of the benzylic chiral center in this series of compounds occurs under different reaction conditions.

In a series of experiments, we first examined the action of soybean and horseradish peroxidases (HRP) on N-protected hydroxy-D-phenylglycine derivatives 1a and 1b at pH 6 and 9. By analogy to the results obtained with the N-protected tyrosine derivatives, we expected

the corresponding C-C coupled product to be formed predominantly. Somewhat to our surprise, the major product formed was 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde, **2a**, in yields as high as 91%. Similarly, when N-acetyl-2,6-dibromohydroxy-D-phenyl-

0040-4039/98/\$19.00 © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

PII: S0040-4039(98)01144-7

glycine, 1c, was exposed to HRP at pH 6, the major product (2c) was isolated in 43% yield, accompanied by 12% of an interesting new compound, which was characterized as 3c on the basis of its spectroscopic data.⁵

These results revealed that the N-protected hydroxy-D-phenylglycine derivatives were highly susceptible to oxidative decarboxylations under the reaction conditions. A plausible mechanism for the formation of 2c and 3c from 1c is illustrated in Scheme 1.

To prevent decarboxylation, we prepared substrates **4a-c**, in which both the amino and carboxyl groups were blocked for our C-C coupling investigations. The results of Table 1 showed that the expected dimeric C-C coupled products were obtained in yields ranging

Scheme 1. Proposed mechanism for the formation of 2c and 3c.

from 9% to 48% depending on reaction conditions. At pH values less than 9, some trimeric C-C coupled products were also formed besides recovered starting material.

Table 1. HRP-catalyzed C-C Coupling of 4

R	pН	Co-solvent	HRP/SM (units/µmol)	Time (min)	Scale (mmol SM)	Isolated Yield (%)		
						5	6	4
Ac	9.0	10% dioxane	3	5	1	9	_	11
Boc	9.0	30% dioxane	3	10	1	22	-	_
Boc	8.4	20% CH ₃ CN	5	10	5	48	3	10
Cbz	6.0	20% CH ₃ CN	0.5	20	3	26	14	48

Having successfully achieved the C-C coupling of hydroxy-D-phenylglycine derivatives, we turned our attention to the synthesis of diaryl ethers using the appropriately protected dibromo derivative 7 as substrate. As shown in Scheme

2, HRP-catalyzed oxidative coupling of 7 afforded a pair of diastereomeric derivatives, 8a and 8b in 24% yield and the quinone derivative 9 (20%).

Scheme 2. HRP-catalyzed C-O coupling of 7

To optimize the enzymatic C-O coupling reaction of 7, the reaction conditions were carefully studied and the intermediates, such as 8a and 8b, were reduced *in situ* with NaHSO₃ to generate the diaryl ether 10 directly.^{6b} After much experimentation, we found that the best obtainable yield of 10 was around 32%.

Although the yields of enzymatic C-C and C-O coupling of hydroxy-D-phenylglycine derivatives are not as high as compared to the corresponding tyrosine series, the enzymatic oxidative C-O coupling methodology is more efficient than the electrochemical method wherein the desired diaryl ether was obtained in only 7% yield.⁷ Further, we did not observe racemization of the chiral center in all of the substrates examined. The application of this oxidative coupling technology for the cyclization of peptides containing hydroxy-D-phenylglycine residues is currently under investigation.

Acknowledgment: This investigation was supported in part by a grant from the National Institutes of Health.

References and Notes

Vol. 5, pp. 118-158.

- a) Kazlauskas, R.; Lidgerd, R. O.; Murphy, P. T.; Wells, R. J.; Blount, J. F. Aust. J. Chem. 1981, 34, 765-786.
 b) Yasuzawa, T.; Shirahata, K.; Sano, H. J. Antibiot. 1987, 40, 455-458.
 c) Nishiyama, K.; Suzuki, S.; Yamura, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4481-4484.
 d) Kase, H.; Kaneko, M.; Yamada, K. J. Antibiot. 1997, 40, 450-454.
 e) For a review on vancomycin and related antibiotics, see: Williams, D. H.; Rajananda, V.; Williamson, M. J.; Bojesen, G. In Topics in Antibiotics Chemistry; Sammes, P. G., (ed.); John Wiley & Sons Inc: New York, 1980;
- 2. a) Amado, R.; Aeschbach, R.; Neukom, H. Meth. Enzymol. 1984, 107, 377-388. b) Fry, S. C. Ibid., 107, 388-397.
- a) Yamamura, S.; Nishiyama, S. In Studies in Natural Products Chemistry; Rahman, A. U. (ed.); Elsevier Sci. Publ. B.V., 1992; Vol. 10, pp. 629-669.
 b) Ramarao, A. V.; Gurjar, M. K.; Reddy, K. L.; Rao, A. S. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2135-2167.
 c) Evans, D. A.; Devries, K. M. In Glycopeptide Antibiotics, Nagarajan, R. (ed.); Marcel Dekker: New York, 1994; pp. 63-101.

- 4. Guo, Z. W.; Salamonczyk, G. M.; Han, K.; Machiya, K.; Sih, C. J. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6700-6701.
- 5. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 9.85 (s, 1H), 8.65 (d, *J*=8, 2H), 7.50 (s, 2H), 6.25 (t, *J*=8, 1H), 1.90 (s, 6H); ¹H NMR (acetone-d₆-DMSO-d₆-D₂O) δ 7.51 (s, 2H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 2.03 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (D₂O-NaOH) 177.1 (2C), 164.3, 133.6 (2C), 127.8, 118.6 (2C), 61.4, 25.7 (2C); FAB MS *m/z* (relative intensity) 383 (52), 381 (100), 379 ([M+H]⁺, 62).
- 6. a) To a clear solution of 7 (230 mg, 0.6 mmol) in 69 mL of pH 4.0 buffer and 23 mL of dioxane, 3 mL of HRP (1000 unit/mL) was added, followed by 82 μL of 30% H₂O₂. The resulting mixture was stirred at 24°C for 5 min. quenched with 50 mL of 5% citric acid, and extracted with ethyl acetate (60 mL). The organic extract was washed with water (2 × 30 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to flash chromatography (CH₂Cl₂-acetone 1:0 to 1:1) to afford 9 (34 mg, 20%): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.63 (s, 2H), 7.25 (d, J=2.2, 1H), 6.76 (d, J=6.6, 2H), 5.62 (d, J=2.2, 1H), 5.57 (d, J=6.6, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 2.10 (s, 3H);¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 184.3, 173.1, 170.1, 169.5, 154.3, 146.8, 138.5, 138.3, 134.8, 132.0 (2C), 117.2 (2C), 111.3, 54.9, 53.6, 23.1; FAB MS m/z (relative intensity) 594 (26), 592 (74), 590 (100), 588 ([M+Na]⁺, 69), and a mixture of diastereoisomers 8a and 8b (1:1, 49 mg, 24%). The mixture was further subjected to flash chromatography (CH₂Cl₂-acetone 1:0 to 3:1) to afford the less polar portion as 8a: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.61 (s, 1H), 7.22 (d, J=2.6, 1H), 6.80 (d, J=6.8, 1H), 5.58-5.55 (m, 2H), 4.71 (brs, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 2.11 (s, 6H); 13 C NMR $(CDCl_3)$ δ 171.8, 170.1, 169.6, 168.2, 166.8, 147.5, 146.2, 143.3, 137.6, 131.9 (2C), 124.8, 118.1, 117.7 (2C), 83.3, 77.3, 55.0, 53.6, 52.9, 23.1, 14.2; FAB MS m/z (relative intensity) 683 (72), 681 (100), 679 (98), 677 ([M+H]⁺, 62), and the more polar portion as 8b: ${}^{1}H$ NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.27 (d, J=2.8, 1H), 6.61 (d, J=6.4, 1H), 5.57- $5.50 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 4.77 \text{ (brs. 1H)}, 3.82 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 3.65 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 2.10 \text{ (s, 6H)}; {}^{13}\text{C NMR (CDCl}_3) \delta 171.8, 170.1, 169.5, 167.8,$ 167.0, 147.9, 147.1, 145.6, 137.5, 131.8 (2C), 124.6, 117.7 (2C), 114.4, 83.2, 75.8, 54.8, 53.5, 53.0, 23.1, 14.2; FAB MS m/z (relative intensity) 683 (50), 681 (100), 679 (85), 677 ([M+H]⁺, 35). b) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.62 (s, 2H), 7.20 (s, 1H), 7.04 (brd, 1H), 6.68 (brd, 1H), 6.37 (s, 1H), 5.61 (d, J=7.0, 1H), 5.34 (d. J=7.4, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 1.98 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 170.9, 170.2, 169.9, 169.7, 148.4, 143.8, 143.3, 137.2, 131.9 (2C), 129.0, 125.3, 118.5 (2C), 112.5, 110.2, 55.3, 54.9, 53.5, 52.9, 23.0, 22.9; FAB MS m/z (relative intensity) 707 (34), 705 (100), 703 (100), 701 ([M+Na]⁺, 34).
- 7. Nishiyama, S.; Kim, M. H.; Yamamura, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 8397-8400.